# Multi-mode Low-dimensional Models for Real Time Simulation of Catalytic and Multiphase Reactors

Vemuri Balakotaiah

Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering University of Houston

Students: S. Y. Joshi M. Bhattacharya S. Chakraborty Funding: Robert A. Welch Foundation US DOE Ford

**Collaborators:** 

M. P. Harold, ChE M. Franchek, ME K. Grigoriadis, ME

Bifurcation and Model Reduction Techniques for Large Multi-Disciplinary Systems Workshop University of Liverpool, UK, June 26-27, 2008

# **Models of Homogeneous & Catalytic Reactors**



Homogeneous Tank Reactor



Detailed Model:

 $\mathbf{C}\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}); \quad \mathbf{x} \text{ in } \Omega, t > 0$ I.C.:  $\Gamma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}) = 0$  in  $\Omega, t = 0$ B.C.:  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}) = 0$  in  $\partial \Omega, t > 0$ 

Ideal CSTR Model:

(Bodenstein & Wolgast, 1908)

$$\frac{d\overline{C}}{dt} = \frac{1}{\tau} (C_{in} - \overline{C}) - R(\overline{C}); \quad t > 0$$
  
I.C.:  $\overline{C}(t = 0) = \overline{C}_0$ 

<u>Objective</u>: Develop accurate low-dimensional models (in terms of average/measurable quantities) without losing any important physics at small length/time scales.

### **Approaches to Averaging/Dimension Reduction**



# **Averaging/Dimension Reduction Techniques**

(i) Method of Multiple Scales

(multiple length/time scales involving a small parameter)

- (ii) The Krylov-Bogoliubov Technique (averaging method for periodically forced systems)
- (iii) Method of Moments (Aris, 1956; Brenner,1994) (uses spatial moments/theory of Brownian motion)
- (iv) Volume Averaging using Divergence/Transport Theorems (Slattery,1969; Whitaker,1967; Anderson & Jackson,1967)
- (v) Long-Wave (Lubrication) Method (used extensively in the fluid mechanics literature)

#### Plus

Many others (e.g. Reynolds' averaging, Renormalization, POD, Inertial Manifolds, etc.)

New Spatial Averaging Method

Liapunov-Schmidt Technique (Balakotaiah & Chang, 2003; Balakotaiah & Chakraborty, 2002) perturbation expansion around zero eigenvalue (s)

#### The Liapunov-Schmidt (L-S) Method for Local Bifurcations

Golubitsky and Schaeffer (1985); Balakotaiah et. al (1985)

 $F(\mathbf{u}, \lambda) : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{R}^{p} \to \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{n}}$  $F(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{L} = D_{u}F(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$  $\dim(\ker \mathbf{L}) = 1$ 

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbf{EF}(x \mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \mathbf{0} \; ; \Rightarrow \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{W}(x \mathbf{y}_0, \lambda) \\ (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E})\mathbf{F}(x \mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{w}, \lambda) = \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$$
  
Branching Equation :  
$$g(x, \lambda) = \langle \mathbf{v}_0, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E})\mathbf{F}(x \mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{W}(x \mathbf{y}_0, \lambda), \lambda) \rangle = \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y}_{0} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathbf{L}^{*}\mathbf{v}_{0} = \mathbf{0}; \ \left\langle \mathbf{y}_{0}, \mathbf{v}_{0} \right\rangle = 1$$
$$\mathbf{u} = x \mathbf{y}_{0} + \mathbf{w}$$

### The Liapunov-Schmidt (L-S) Method for Spatial Averaging of Convection-Diffusion-Reaction (CDR) Models

Balakotaiah and Chakraborty; Chem. Eng. Sci., 57, 2545-2564 (2002) Balakotaiah & Chang; SIAM J. Appl. Math., 63, 1231-1258 (2003)

## **Observations:**

- Diffusion is dominant at small length scales
- Local Diffusion operator of the CDR equation (with a periodic/ Neumann)
- & Robin BCs has a zero eigenvalue with a constant eigenfunction.
- Spatial degrees of freedom (small length scales) can be eliminated near the zero eigenvalue (small parameter).

### **Procedure:**

- Write the detailed (microscopic) model
- Identify the smallest length/time scale (expressed in terms of a small parameter, say p)
- Express all other parameters ( $\lambda_i$ ) as  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i p^n$ , where  $\alpha_i$  is O(1) & n = 1,0, -1, ...
- Apply the L-S reduction (eliminate spatial degrees of freedom)

#### The L-S procedure is equivalent to a Taylor series expansion of the detailed model

**Cross-sectional Averaging by the L-S method (Details)** 



1. Split the state variable into orthogonal components:



2. Project the governing equation(s) onto complementary function spaces:

$$(I-E)F=0 \qquad EF=0$$

$$(I-E)F=0 \qquad Iocal equation$$

$$\langle f \langle c \rangle \psi_0 + c' , \psi_0 \rangle = 0 \qquad Lc' = pf \langle c \rangle \psi_0 + c' , \psi_0 \rangle \psi_0$$

# **Averaging Procedure (Contd.)**

3. Solve the reaction-diffusion equation at the local scale by perturbation expansion:



$$Lc_{1} = f \langle c \rangle \psi_{0} = \langle f \langle c \rangle \psi_{0} , \psi_{0} \rangle \psi_{0}; \langle c_{1} \rangle = 0,$$
  

$$Lc_{2} = D_{c} f \langle c \rangle \psi_{0} = c_{1} - \langle D_{c} f \langle c \rangle \psi_{0} = c_{1}, \psi_{0} \rangle \psi_{0}; \langle c_{2} \rangle = 0,...$$
  
where  $D_{c} f$  and  $D_{cc}^{2} f$  are Fre'chet derivative s of  $f$ .

4. Averaged Model (single equation representation):

$$\left\langle f\left(c\right)\psi_{0}\right)\psi_{0}\right\rangle + p\left\langle D_{c}f\left(c\right)\psi_{0}\right)c_{1},\psi_{0}\right\rangle + p^{2}\left[\left\langle D_{c}f\left(c\right)\psi_{0}\right)c_{2},\psi_{0}\right\rangle + \frac{1}{2!}\left\langle D^{2}_{cc}f\left(c\right)\psi_{0}\right)c_{1},c_{1}\right)\psi_{0}\right\rangle + O\left(\phi^{3}\right)=0$$

5. Write the averaged model Two-Mode/Multi-mode form (in terms of physical variables:

**Global Equation:** 

$$G(\langle c \rangle, c_m) = \langle f \langle \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$c_m = \langle c \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, t ] \mathbf{u} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} ] \psi_0 \rangle$$

**Local Equation:** 

$$c_m \langle t, t \rangle = \langle c \rangle \langle t, t \rangle = \langle u c', \psi_0 \rangle$$

6. If necessary, regularize the averaged model (to expand the range of validity)

# Averaged Model in Two-mode and Multi-mode Form



Two Modes: C<sub>m</sub> and <C>

Low-Dimensional Models for Diffusion-Convection-Reaction Problems using the L-S Method

Examples:

- 1. Transient Heat Conduction Problem
- 2. Dispersion and Transfer Coefficients
- 3. Low-D Models for Homogeneous Reactors
- 4. Low-D models for real time simulation of TWCs

### Averaging by L-S Method 1. Transient Heat Conduction in a Slab

$$Bi\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x^2}; 0 < x < 1, t > 0$$
  
BC1:  $\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x}(0,t) = 0$   
BC2:  $\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x}(1,t) + Bi\theta(1,t) = 0$   
IC:  $\theta(x,0) = f(x)$ 

Lumped Model 
$$(Bi \rightarrow 0)$$
  
 $\overline{\theta}(t) = \int_{0}^{1} \theta(x, t) dx$   
 $\frac{d\overline{\theta}}{dt} = -\overline{\theta}$   
IC :  $\overline{\theta}(0) = \overline{f}$ 

$$Bi = \frac{t_D}{t_E}; \ t_D = \frac{a^2}{[k/(\rho c_p)]}; \ t_E = \frac{a(\rho c_p)}{h}$$
$$t = \frac{t'}{t_E}; \ \tau = \frac{t'}{t_D} \qquad t = Bi \ \tau$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} = Bi \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \delta(t) f(x) \right); 0 < x < 1, t > 0$$
  
BC1:  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(0, t) = 0$   
BC2:  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(1, t) + Bi \theta(1, t) = 0$ 

Averaging by L-S Method  
(Transient Heat Conduction in a Slab)  

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} = Bi \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \delta(t) f(x) \right); 0 < x < 1, t > 0$$
BC1:  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(0,t) = 0$   
BC2:  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(1,t) + Bi \theta(1,t) = 0$   
Local Equation:  
 $\theta(x,t) = \overline{\theta}(t) + \theta'(x,t)$   
 $\frac{\partial^2 \theta'}{\partial x^2} = Bi \left[ \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial t} - \delta(t) \oint (x) - \overline{f} - \overline{\theta}(t) - \theta'(1, t) \right] = 0$ 

 $\frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial x}(0,t) = 0; \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial x}(1,t) + Bi\overline{\theta}(t) + Bi\theta'(1,t) = 0$  $\theta'(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Bi^k \theta_k(x,t)$ 

$$Bi\left[\frac{d\theta}{dt} - \delta(t)\overline{f} + \theta(1,t)\right] = \frac{d\overline{\theta}}{dt} = -\theta(1,t)$$
$$\overline{\theta}(0) = \overline{f}$$

#### Averaging by L-S Method (Transient Heat Conduction in a Slab)

$$\begin{split} \hline \text{Global Equation} \\ \frac{d\bar{\theta}}{dt} &= -\theta_s(t) = -\theta(1,t) \\ \overline{\theta}(0) &= \overline{f} \end{split} \\ \hline \text{For } f(x) &= 1, \\ \frac{d\bar{\theta}}{dt} &= -\overline{\theta} \bigg[ 1 - \frac{Bi}{3} + \frac{4}{45} Bi^2 + O(Bi^3) \bigg] \\ \overline{\theta}(t = 0) &= 1 - \frac{1}{45} Bi^2 + O(Bi^3) \end{split} \\ \hline \text{For } f(x) &= 1, \\ \frac{d\bar{\theta}}{dt} &= -\overline{\theta} \bigg[ 1 - \frac{Bi}{3} + \frac{4}{45} Bi^2 + O(Bi^3) \bigg] \\ \overline{\theta}(t = 0) &= 1 - \frac{1}{45} Bi^2 + O(Bi^3) \end{aligned} \\ \hline \text{Regularized model,} \\ \frac{d\bar{\theta}}{d\tau} &= -Bi \frac{\bar{\theta}}{(1 + \frac{Bi}{3})}; t = Bi\tau \\ \overline{\theta}(\tau = 0) &= 1 \end{split}$$

 $Bi = 1 \implies \overline{\theta} = 0.978 \exp[-0.756t]$ Exact solution:  $\overline{\theta} = 0.986 \exp[-0.740t] + \overline{\theta} = 0.0124 \exp[-11.74t] + ...$ 

# 2. Dispersion and Transfer Coefficients

(A) Transfer Coefficient Concept

Film Model for Heat Transfer (W. K. Lewis, 1916)

Film Model for Mass Transfer (W. G. Whitman, 1923)

Boundary Layer Concept (Prandtl, 1904; von Karman, 1934)

(B) Eddy Diffusion/Dispersion Coefficient Concept

**B1: Eddy Diffusion Coefficient** 

Eddy viscosity (J. Boussinesq, 1877)

Turbulent diffusivity/Conductivity (Prandtl, 1910)

**B2: Dispersion Coefficient** 

Taylor dispersion coefficient (Taylor, 1953; Aris, 1956)

These concepts

(i) Eliminate local spatial degrees of freedom (small scales) and coarse-grain the governing equations to obtain averaged/low-dimensional models.

(ii) Express the detailed information contained in the governing equations in terms of a single coefficient (data compression)

#### Averaging / Coarse-graining (Heat/Mass Transfer Coefficient Concept)



Local heat transfer coefficient:



$$\mathbf{T}_{m} = \operatorname{cup} \cdot \operatorname{mixingtemperature}$$
$$= \int_{0}^{a} 2\pi \mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{u} \, \mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{T} \, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{d} \mathbf{r} / \mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{a}^{2} \, \langle \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}} \rangle^{-1}$$

Coarse - grained / Low - dimensional Model  $\langle \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}} \rangle \rho_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{pf}} \frac{d\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m}}}{d\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{q}, \text{ with } \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{0}}; \quad \text{Global Equation } (a_{\mathbf{v}}=2/a)$  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{h} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m}}$   $\leftarrow \text{Local Equation } \frac{h_{\infty} 2a}{k_{f}} = Nu_{\infty} = \frac{48}{11}$ 

#### **Averaging / Coarse-graining (Dispersion Coefficient Concept)**



Inert Tracer diffusion in laminar flow in a tube

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + 2\langle u_x \rangle \left( 1 - \frac{r^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = D_m \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial C}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} \right]; \qquad -\infty < x < \infty;$$
  
$$0 < r < a$$
  
$$I.C. \& BCs.: C \langle x, r, \varphi, t = 0 \rangle = C_0(x, r, \varphi); \frac{\partial C}{\partial r}(r = a) = 0$$

Taylor (1953), Taylor-Aris dispersion (Aris, 1956)

Coarse - grained / Low - dimensional Model  $\frac{\partial \langle C \rangle}{\partial t} + \langle \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}} \rangle \frac{\partial \langle C \rangle}{\partial x} = D_e \frac{\partial^2 \langle C \rangle}{\partial x^2},$   $D_e = D_m + \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}} \rangle^2 a^2}{48D_m}$  Generalizations: Finite systems: 0<x<L turbulent flows, packed-beds, bubble columns, Reacting flows, etc

# Some Conceptual Difficulties with the Taylor Dispersion Coefficient Concept

- Upstream diffusion even in convection dominated systemsDiffusion is symmetric (Gaussian) about mean flow
- •Infinite speed of propagation of signals even in convection dominated systems
- •Validity of downstream BC, especially for large axial Pe
- •Dependence of dispersion coefficient on kinetics
- •Inability to describe systems whose RTD curve has long tails associated with stagnant (zero velocity) regions and/or bypass behavior, or near segregated flow limit, etc

Key Observations: (i) single concentration is used

- (ii) transfer between scales is represented in terms of gradient based on large scale (in contrast to gradient across eliminated length scale)
- (ii) Transfer/exchange between scales is not necessarily local

#### **Taylor Dispersion Theory (Revised)**



Local Eqn.:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{m}} - \langle C \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{D}} \underbrace{\neg}^{\partial^i} \underbrace{\langle C \rangle}{\partial t^i}$$

Truncated Local Eqn.



Averaged model (single mode form): (with transfer/exchange time)

$$\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial t} + \langle u \rangle \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial x} + \langle u \rangle t_D \frac{\partial^2 C_m}{\partial x \partial t} = 0; t > 0, x > 0$$
  

$$I.C: C_m(x, t = 0) = C_{m0}(x)$$
  

$$B.C: C_m(x = 0, t) = C_{m,in}(t)$$

Averaged model is hyperbolic!

Truncated Averaged model (two-mode form with transfer coefficient)

$$\frac{\partial \langle C \rangle}{\partial t} + \langle u \rangle \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial x} = 0; \quad \text{Global Eqn.}$$

$$\frac{\partial \langle C \rangle}{\partial t} = k_c a_v \quad \text{fm} - \langle C \rangle ; \quad \text{Local Eqn.};$$

$$a_v = \frac{2}{a}; \quad k_c = \frac{24D_m}{a}$$

$$t_D = \frac{a^2}{48D_m} = \text{local transfer/ exchange time}$$

# The Liapunov-Schmidt (L-S) Method for Exact Spatial Averaging of the Convective-Diffusion Equation

[SIAM J. Appl. Math., 63,1231-1258 (2003); Chem. Eng. Sci.,57,2545-2564 (2002)]

# L-S method:

(i) Spatial degrees of freedom (small length scales) can be eliminated near zero eigenvalues (small parameter)

- (ii) The L-S method is equivalent to (exact) Taylor expansion of the detailed model in terms of the small parameter (in the function space)(iii) Easily applied to linear as well as nonlinear models
- e.g. classical Taylor problem

# Averaged model to all orders

$$\frac{\partial \langle C \rangle}{\partial t} + \langle u \rangle \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial x} = 0; \quad \text{Global Eqn.}$$
$$C_m - \langle C \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i \P_D \stackrel{\text{T}}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\partial^i \langle C \rangle}{\partial t^i}; \quad \text{Local Eqn.}$$

Convergence criterion  

$$t_D = \frac{a^2}{48D_m}; \ \ell_D = \langle u \rangle t_D$$
  
 $t_D \omega_t < 0.858$   
 $I.C: C_m(x,t=0) = C_{m,0}$  ;  
 $B.C: C_m(x=0,t) = C_{m,in}$ 

#### Comparison of Parabolic and Hyperbolic Models

Taylor's model

$$\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial t} + \left\langle u \right\rangle \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial x} = D_e \frac{\partial^2 C_m}{\partial x^2}; D_e = \frac{a^2 \left\langle u \right\rangle^2}{48D_m}$$

Taylor-Aris Parabolic Model



+ 2 BCs for finite domains

Hyperbolic model is a Cauchy Problem while Parabolic one is a BVP Hyperbolic model

$$\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial t} + \left\langle u \right\rangle \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial x} + \left\langle u \right\rangle t_D \frac{\partial^2 C_m}{\partial x \partial t} = 0$$

Leading Order Appromixation  $\frac{\partial \langle C \rangle}{\partial t} = -\langle u \rangle \frac{\partial \langle C \rangle}{\partial x}; \ \langle C \rangle = C_m$   $\Rightarrow D_e = \langle u \rangle^2 t_D$ 



$$\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial t} + \langle u \rangle \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial x} + \frac{\langle u \rangle a^2}{48D_m} \frac{\partial^2 C_m}{\partial x \partial t} = D_m \frac{\partial^2 C_m}{\partial x^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial t} + \langle u \rangle \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial x} + \langle u \rangle t_D \frac{\partial^2 C_m}{\partial x \partial t} = 0; \quad t_D = \left(\frac{a^2}{48D_m} + \frac{D_m}{\langle u \rangle^2}\right)$$

#### **Comparison of Parabolic and Hyperbolic Models**

#### Hyperbolic Model

$$\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial z} + P \frac{\partial^2 C_m}{\partial z \partial t} = 0; P = \beta_1 p = \frac{t_D \langle u \rangle}{L} ;$$
  

$$I.C: C_m(z,t=0) = C_{m,0} \notin ;$$
  

$$B.C: C_m(z=0,t) = C_{m,in} \notin ;$$
  

$$Parabolic Model$$
  

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{Pe} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2}; 0 < z < 1; Pe = \frac{\langle u \rangle L}{D_e}$$
  

$$BCs: \frac{1}{Pe} \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = C - C_{in}(t) \text{ at } z = 0; \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = 0 \text{ at } z = 1$$
  

$$IC: C(z,=0) = C_0(z)$$

#### **Observations:**

- . Both models predict the same dispersion curves for Pe>>1 (P<<1)
- . For parabolic model the variance is bounded in 0 (PFR) and 1 (CSTR)  $_{0.1}$  while the hyperbolic model has a much larger range of validity
- . Hyperbolic model is easier to solve (IVP) compared to Parabolic (BVP)
- . Hyperbolic model has no physical inconsistencies and is qualitatively correct even in the limit of  $P \rightarrow \infty$

# Dispersion curves predicted by the hyperbolic model



#### Other Thermal/Solutal Dispersion Problems:

Heat and Mass transfer coefficients in ducts Thermal Dispersion in Packed Beds (Universal scaling for disparate capacitances)

Balakotaiah & Chang; SIAM J. Appl. Math., 63,1231-1258 (2003)

Thermal/Solutal dispersion with diffusion into the wall



Hyperbolic model can give rise to large Second moment (long tail)

#### 3. Spatial Averaged Two-mode Models for Homogeneous Tubular Reactors



Spatial averaging is possible when  $p \le 1$ ,  $Da \sim O(1)$ , & (a)  $Pe_r \sim O(1/p)$  or (b)  $Pe_r \sim O(1)$  or (c)  $Pe_r \sim O(p)$ 

## **Two-Mode Models for Homogeneous Tubular Reactors**

Case (a)  $Pe_r = O(1/p)$  $\xi=1 \varphi=2\pi$  $\int \int c u(\xi) 2\xi \, d\varphi \, d\xi$  $c_m = \frac{\xi = 0 \quad \varphi = 0}{\xi = 1}$  $\left\langle c\right\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\xi=0}^{\xi=1} \int_{\varphi=0}^{\varphi=2\pi} c \, 2\xi \, d\varphi \, d\xi.$  $2\pi \int u(\xi) 2\xi d\xi$ Cup-mixing Average Averaged/R educed Model (to order p)  $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{c}_{m}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{c}_{m}}{\partial z} + \beta_{1} p \frac{\partial^{2} \boldsymbol{c}_{m}}{\partial z \partial t} + \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{r}(\langle \boldsymbol{c} \rangle) = \boldsymbol{0} \qquad \boldsymbol{Global Eqn.}$  $\beta_{1} p \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{c}_{m}}{\partial z} = \langle \boldsymbol{c} \rangle - \boldsymbol{c}_{m} \quad ; \beta_{1} = \frac{1}{48} \qquad \boldsymbol{Local Eqn.}$ Averaged Model can be written in terms of a single  $\beta_1 p \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{c}_m}{\partial z} = \langle \boldsymbol{c} \rangle - \boldsymbol{c}_m ; \beta_1 = \frac{1}{48}$ BC and I.C:  $\boldsymbol{c}_m = \boldsymbol{c}_{m,in}(t), @ \boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{0}; \quad \langle \boldsymbol{c} \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{c}_0 \rangle(\boldsymbol{z}) @ \boldsymbol{t} = \boldsymbol{0}$ Concentration  $(c_m)$  but this leads to transport coefficients dependent on kinetics.

Special cases

- $p = 0 \implies$  plug flow model (zeroth order)
- Steady state and  $Pe_r >>1 \Rightarrow$  two-mode homogeneous tubular reactor model

$$\frac{dc_m}{dz} = -\frac{\langle c \rangle}{\beta_1 p}; \quad c_m \langle c \rangle = c_{min}$$
$$c_m - \langle c \rangle = \beta_1 p Dar(\langle c \rangle)$$



# **Two-Mode Models for Homogeneous Tubular Reactors**

*Case* (*b*)  $Pe_r = O(1)$ 



#### Special cases

- $p = 0 \Rightarrow$  plug flow model (zeroth order)
- Steady state two-mode model

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{c}_m}{dz} + \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{a}\,\boldsymbol{r}(\langle \boldsymbol{c} \rangle) = \frac{p}{Pe_r^2} \frac{d^2 \langle \boldsymbol{c} \rangle}{dz^2}; \quad \langle \boldsymbol{c} \rangle - \boldsymbol{c}_m = \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \boldsymbol{p} \frac{d\boldsymbol{c}_m}{dz} + \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{o}\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{e}\,\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{s}$$

. No reaction case  

$$\frac{\partial c_m}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial c_m}{\partial z} + \beta_1 p \frac{\partial^2 c_m}{\partial z \partial t} - \frac{p}{Pe_r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \langle c \rangle}{\partial z^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{(\beta_1 p + \frac{p}{Pe_r^2}) \frac{\partial^2 C_m}{\partial z^2}}{(\beta_1 p + \frac{p}{Pe_r^2}) \frac{\partial^2 C_m}{\partial z \partial t}}$$
[Hyperbolic Model]

]

#### **Two-mode Models for Homogeneous Reactors**

(c)  $Pe_r=O(p)$ 

Micro-Scale reduction : Meso-Micro coupling



# **Two-Mode Model for Homogeneous Tank Reactors**

#### Meso-Macro Coupling

**3n** equations



Two-mode reactor scale model





$$t_{mix,I} = \tau_E \left\langle \left( t^e - \beta \right) n v \left( t^E \right) \left( t^{in} - \beta \right) y_0, y_0 \right\rangle + \tau_d \left( \alpha^e \left( t^e - Pe_c \right) y_0, y_0 \right) + \langle \beta Pe_c y_0, y_0 \rangle \right) \right\rangle$$

$$\tau_d = l_d^2 / D$$

$$\tau_E = V/Q$$

$$\tau_E = V/Q$$

$$\tau = V/Q$$

macromixing effect micromixing effect  $t_{mix,1} = f\left(\frac{1}{r.p.m}\right)g_1 \text{ (feed distribution, reactor geometry)} + f\left(\frac{l_a^2}{D}\right)g_2 \text{ (feed distribution, reactor geometry)}$   $t_{mix,2} = f\left(\frac{1}{r.p.m}\right)g_3 \text{ (feed distribution \& composition, reactor geometry)} + f\left(\frac{l_a^2}{D}\right)g_4 \text{ (feed distribution \& composition, reactor geometry)}$ 

For premixed feed  $t_{mix,1} = t_{mix,2}$ 

# Effect of feeding on Bimolecular 2<sup>nd</sup> Order Reaction





*t<sub>mix,2</sub>* is higher for concentrated species

 $\tau_{E}/\tau = 0.1, \ \tau_{d}/\tau = 0.1$ 

$\boldsymbol{q}_{A} / \boldsymbol{q}_{B}$	$t^A_{mix,1}/ au$	$t^B_{mix,1}/ au$	$t_{mix,2}^A/ au$	$t^B_{mix,2}/ au$
premixed	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
1:1	0.1028	0.1028	0.1417	0.0833
1:4	0.1090	0.1090	0.2350	0.0775
1:9	0.1160	0.1160	0.4800	0.0756
1:19	0.1203	0.1203	0.9775	0.0751

## 4. Low-D Models for Three-Way Converters(TWCs) & Lean NOx Traps(LNTs)





# – Challenges

- Maximize NOx conversion
- Maximize reductant conversion
- Minimize fuel penalty
- Minimize deactivation
- Achieve robust control

# **Catalytic Monolith Converter**



#### Low-dimensional Model for a Catalytic Monolith Reactor



# Low-Dimensional Model for a TWC

Species conservation

j=1,2 .... S (species); N reactions

Energy balance

+ IC + BCs

$$\rho_{f}c_{pf}\frac{\partial T_{f}}{\partial t} = -u\rho_{f}c_{pf}\frac{\partial T_{f}}{\partial x} - h\langle g\rangle, \langle f - T_{s}\rangle$$

$$\delta_{w}\rho_{w}c_{pw}\frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial t} = \delta_{w}k_{w}\frac{\partial^{2}T_{s}}{\partial x^{2}} + h\langle f - T_{s}\rangle, \delta_{c}\sum_{j=1}^{N}R_{j}\langle c_{wc}\rangle_{1}, \langle c_{wc}\rangle_{2}, \ldots \langle c_{wc}\rangle_{s}, T_{s}\rangle \langle \Delta H_{j}\rangle$$

$$T_{f} = T_{fin}(t) @ x = 0; T_{s}(x,t=0) = T_{s0}(x); T_{f}(x,t=0) = T_{f0}(x); \frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial x} = 0 @ x = 0, L$$

# Comparison of Accuracy of Low-D Model for Linear Kinetics, Single Reaction and Isothermal Case:



# **Overall reactions in TWC** 1. $CO + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow CO_2$ 2. $H_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow H_2O$ 3. $CH_y + \frac{4+y}{4}O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + \frac{y}{2}H_2O$ 4. $NO + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + N_2$ $R_{CO} = \frac{k_1 X_{CO} X_{O_2}}{F(\hat{X} \ T)}$ $R_{H_2} = \frac{k_1 X_{H_2} X_{O_2}}{F(\hat{X} \ T_2)}$ $R_{HC} = \frac{k_3 X_{HC} X_{O_2}}{F(\hat{X} T)}$ $R_{NO} = \frac{k_4 X_{CO}^{1.4} X_{O_2}^{0.3} X_{NO}^{0.13}}{T_{-}^{-0.17} (T_c + ka_5 \hat{X}_{CO})^2}$ $F(\underline{\hat{X}}, T_s) = T_s(1 + ka_1\hat{X}_{CO} + ka_2\hat{X}_{HC})^2(1 + ka_3\hat{X}_{CO}^2\hat{X}_{HC}^2)(1 + ka_4\hat{X}_{NO}^{0.7})$ $k_i = A_i e^{-\frac{E_i}{T_s}}$ i = 1, 3, 4 $ka_i = A_{ii}e^{-\frac{E_{ii}}{T_s}} \qquad i = 1 - 5$

# Monolith Temperature



LOW-D MODEL SOLUTION

COMSOL SOLUTION



Transient simulation showing front end ignition (a) monolith temperature without washcoat diffusion (b) monolith temperature with washcoat diffusion

Table : Cumulative emissions

	With washcoat diffusion (g)	Washcoat diffusion neglected (g)
СО	1.0033	0.5646
НС	0.0743	0.0385
NOx	0.0166	0.0149

# Summary/Conclusions

• The Liapunov-Schmidt Method is an excellent technique for model reduction.

• Multi-mode averaged/coarse-grained models developed by the L-S method describe the system behavior qualitatively and quantitatively.

• Transfer/exchange coefficient concept (hyperbolic) is more physical than dispersion coefficient (parabolic). Multi-mode form of the averaged models with transfer coefficient have a larger domain of validity.

• Convergence of the reduced order models depends on the spatiotemporal frequencies present in the inlet/initial conditions.

• Whenever the local equation/expansion of the L-S method fails to converge, patterned solutions with fine scale structure exist.

References: (i) Balakotaiah & Chakraborty; Chem. Engng. Sci.,57,2545(2002)

(ii) Balakotaiah & Chang; SIAM J. Appl. Math.,63,1231(2003)

(iii) Chakraborty and Balakotaiah, Advances in Chem. Engng., 2005, Academic Press

(iv) Balakotaiah and Chang, "Applied Nonlinear Methods for Engineers", CUP, 2009